Abstract. This study is aimed at designing offline and online controller for energy management of series hybrid electric vehicles (SHEV). Where besides decreasing fuel consumption and keeping battery state of charge within acceptable range, reduces air pollution. In this paper two energy management strategies of SHEV are designed. In first strategy based on known driving cycle, a fuzzy logic controller (FLC) is designed to control power of Electric battery (EB) and internal combustion engine (ICE). In the second control strategy, width of Gaussian membership functions in FLCs of first strategy are optimized. Preliminarily optimization of Gaussian membership functions widths is done by ant colony optimization (ACO) algorithm, then according to four representative driving cycles, four optimized FLCs are designed. In contrast with first strategy the four FLCs can control and manage power of EB and ICM for an unknown driving cycle. Recognition of deriving cycle is based on features extraction of each driving cycle. A learning vector quantization (LVQ) neural network is used to recognized pattern of unknown driving cycle. Finally after recognition of driving cycle, driving cycle recognition (DCR) network algorithm is used to manage switching between optimized FLCs. To verify performance and efficiency of proposed method simulation performed due to Matlab/Advisor environment.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Today’s growing oil price and environmental protection made automotive industry to focus on economic vehicles with low air pollution and high performance [1]. Automotive industry is aiming at developing new generation of vehicles to reduce dependency on fossil fuels without sacrificing vehicle performance [2, 3]. Thus Hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) based on hybrid technology seems to be the most economical solution so far [3].

HEVs are generally known as vehicles with two types of energy sources, internal combustion engine (ICE) and electric Battery (EB). Three main structures for hybrid drivetrains are series, parallel and series-parallel structure. In series hybrid electric vehicle (SHEV) a generator first convert mechanical output of engine to electricity. Converted electricity is used to charge battery or propel the wheel via electric motor and mechanical transmission [4, 5]. Fig 1 shows the four operating modes needed to illustrate power flow control in SHEVs. During start up, acceleration or normal driving, both power sources deliver electrical energy to power converter by generator. At light load since engine output is greater than required for mechanical power, generated electrical energy is used to charge battery. During barking and deceleration, Electric motor (EM) works as generator and kinetic energy of wheels is transformed to electricity, hence battery is charged via power converter [5].

Fig.1. Series Hybrid operating modes (a) startup/normal driving/acceleration (b) light load (c) deceleration/braking (d) Battery charging [3]
Because of power flow control for both mechanical and electrical path, Coordination between energy sources in HEVs is the main challenge. Many control approaches have been proposed to manage energy between EB and ICE [6]. The main proposed approaches to the energy management problem and control power sources in HEVs are rule based energy management and optimization based control strategies [7].

Control rules in Rule based control strategies are designed based on intuition and expertise of designer. Deterministic and fuzzy rule based methods are the main classification of rule based strategies. Nonlinear dynamic, parameter sensitivity to ambient factors, load complexity and uncertainty of system made the fuzzy based control methods to be the hot direction in HEVs [8, 9]. Different control strategies have been proposed to control and manage power sources in HEVs. Antonio Piccolo et al, proposed an energy management methodology that starts from desired vehicle on road performance. Genetic algorithm is employed to identify value of the energy flows management parameters that minimize a cost function descriptive of the design objectives in terms of fuel consumption and emissions [10].

In this part DCR algorithm is used to assign unknown driving cycle to four standard determined driving cycles. In HEVs driving cycles play great role in fuel economic and air pollution. In the next part, driving cycle recognition by drive cycle recognition (DCR) algorithm is explained that is based on LVQ neural network.

A. Feature extraction from driving cycle

Fig 2 shows mechanism of DCR algorithm. The four standard driving cycles are shown in Fig 3. Name, type and class number of the four standard driving cycles are listed in Table I.

Each driving pattern mainly depends on traffic load of city, road type and road condition [14, 16]. In this study for pattern recognition, four standard driving cycles:
- CYC New York Bus
- CYC-INDIA URBAN-SAMPLE
- CYC-Nuremberg R36
- CYC-SC03

Are used, which include all road types and conditions.

II. PATTERN RECOGNITION OF UNKNOWN DRIVING CYCLE

Pattern recognition of driving cycle can be divided to three steps:
1) Features extraction: in this step large number of features in driving cycle are measured to be classified later.
2) Feature selection: in first step large number of features have been extracted. But generally it is emphasized to have low number of features [13]. Because of large number and correlation of features, principal component analysis (PCA) method has been used to reduce dimension of feature space [14].
3) Classification of patterns: in this step selected features are applied to a classifier structure. According to the features of input, this structure determines the most similarity between input and one of the classes. Hence the input is belonged to the most similar class according to common features.

Similarity level is usually measured by a distance criterion. For example hamming distance, Euclidean distance, and square distance are most common criterions. Classification is almost done according to a set of input features that is called feature vector. Classification methods are divided in to two parts: numerical and non-numerical. Numerical method is based on deterministic and statistical measurement in geometric space. Non-numerical method is based on sing processing by fuzzy approach, genetic algorithm and some other algorithms [15, 16].
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To recognize pattern of driving cycles the seven selected features are as follows.
- Maximum speed ($V_{\text{max}}$)
- Average speed ($V_{\text{avg}}$)
- Maximum acceleration ($a_{\text{max}}$)
- Average acceleration ($a_{\text{avg}}$)
- Maximum deceleration ($\text{dec}_{\text{max}}$)
- Speed standard deviation ($V_{\text{std}}$)
- Percentage of idle time ($\text{Idle\ percent}$)

$$V_{\text{Max}} = \max(V(t_{\text{cur}}), V(t_{\text{cur}} - \Delta t), \ldots, V(t_{\text{cur}} - (n - 1), \Delta t))$$

$$V_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\int_{t_{\text{cur}}}^{t_{\text{cur}} - \Delta t} V(t) dt}{\Delta w}$$

$$a_{\text{Max}} = \max(a(t_{\text{cur}}), a(t_{\text{cur}} - \Delta t), \ldots, a(t_{\text{cur}} - (n - 1), \Delta t))$$

$$\text{dec}_{\text{Max}} = \min(a(t_{\text{cur}}), a(t_{\text{cur}} - \Delta t), \ldots, a(t_{\text{cur}} - (n - 1), \Delta t))$$

$$a_{\text{avg}} = \int_{0}^{t_{\text{cur}}} a(t). (a(t) > 0) dt - \int_{0}^{t_{\text{cur}}} a(t). (a(t) > 0) dt.$$  

$$V_{\text{Std}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (V(t_{\text{cur}}) - V_{\text{avg}})^2}{n}}$$

$$\text{Idle\ percent} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (V(t_{\text{cur}}) - \Delta t < \epsilon_{\text{PS}})} \times 100$$

In Fig 4 recognition period is shown, $\Delta t$ is recognition cycle and $\Delta t$ is prediction period. In fact extracted features of unknown driving cycle are measured by experimental methods. In this study due to the absence of measurement tools, data are calculated via equations (1) to (7). Since feature extraction from huge amount of data is time consuming, recognition period is divided in to equal time intervals, since $t_{\text{cur}}$, $\Delta t$ represents current time. In Fig 5 extracted features of CYC-CSHVR driving cycle resultant of related equations are shown.
C. Simulation

In this part DCR network is used to classify driving cycles of CYC-WVUSUB. The seven features of each unknown cycle is extracted via equations (1) to (7). According to the features of unknown driving cycle, LVQ network recognizes the class which each part of cycle is belonged to. Classification procedure of unknown driving cycle to the four representative cycles is shown in Fig 9.

III. FIRST PROPOSED CONTROL STRATEGY

Since energy management is important in HEVs, therefore a fuzzy logic controller is designed to control power and energy between energy sources. Characteristics of studied SHEV in this research are listed in Table 2. In first proposed control strategy a designed Mamdani type fuzzy logic controller (FLC) is used. In this strategy driving cycle is considered to be known so that performance of proposed FLC as an offline controller is compared with default controller of Advisor software. In Fig 10 and 11, box of vehicle model and Structure of fuzzy controller are shown respectively. Since FLC is not based on precise mathematical model, requested power from ICE is lower.
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![Fig11. Structure of proposed FLC](image)

![Fig12. First input of FLC, P1](image)
IV. SECOND PROPOSED CONTROL STRATEGY

In first control strategy of SHEV, data of known driving cycle were used. In this part since driving cycle is considered to be unknown, in order to manage power sources of HEV, online controller is proposed. Second control strategy is consist of three parts.

A. Optimization: to reduce air pollution and also keeping SOC within acceptable range at the end of driving cycle, performance of controller is optimized by continues ant colony optimization (ACO) algorithm.

B. Pattern recognition and classification: classification of different driving cycles is done by proposed LVQ neural network.

C. Neural fuzzy controller: in this part by combining results of the last two parts, a sub optimal FLC controller is designed.

Optimization: To optimize performance of first proposed FLC, Continues ACO algorithm is used which leads to reducing air pollution and also keeping SOC within acceptable range. To perform optimization, target function and tuning parameters are defined and specified. Equation 8 defines Target function

\[ J(x)_{x \in X} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta SOC_i^2} + w \times FC \]  (8)

Where \( X \) is parameter optimization space, \( N \) is number of samples, \( W \) is weight of fuel consumption and FC represents amount of fuel consumption in driving cycle period according to one liter per 100 kilometer. Also \( \Delta SOC_i \) is defined as below

\[ \Delta SOC_i = \Delta SOC_{i+1} - 0.7 \]  (9)

The cost function \( J \) is in fact is a weighted summation of fuel consumption and SOC changes. At the end of driving cycle, minimization of cost function leads to minimum changes of SOC and fuel consumption. The value of weight \( W \) is considered properly to highlight importance of fuel consumption and SOC. In this study \( W \) is equal to 0.02. Width of input and output Gaussian membership functions are considered as optimization parameters. In first proposed control strategy for POWER REQ’D BY BUS as first input and SOC as the second input, four and three Gaussian membership functions used respectively. Also four membership functions are used for the output P2 and total optimization parameters are eleven. In order to design sub optimal energy management strategy based on pattern recognition, it is necessary to classify unknown driving cycle by LVQ neural network. Then an optimal FLC is used in that class. Hence according to the four representative driving cycles that explained before, four FLCs are needed. Optimization of FLCs is done by continues ACO algorithm and table 3 shows optimization parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE3</th>
<th>CHARACTERISTIC OF CONTINUES ACO ALGORITHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum iteration</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection pressure</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference rate - distance</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 15 to 18 show the optimal membership functions and target functions of representative driving cycles after optimization process.

Designing sub optimal Neural-fuzzy controller: In this part a Neural-fuzzy energy management based on pattern recognition by LVQ neural network is presented. The proposed controller is combination of optimal fuzzy controller and LVQ neural network. Since driving cycle is unknown, the data are applied to LVQ neural network and then are classified to four classes based on four representative driving cycles. After recognition of driving cycle the related designed optimal FLC start energy managing of SHEV. In Fig 19 structure of second proposed control strategy is shown. In the next part performance of controller is evaluated by a simulation example.
In this part performance of proposed Neural- Fuzzy controller on reducing fuel consumption, air pollution and also keeping SOC within acceptable range, is simulated. First the features of CYC- HL07 driving cycle as unknown driving cycle are extracted. Feature vector as input is applied to LVQ neural network. After pattern recognition and classification, the designed optimal Neural-Fuzzy controller of that class is switch on to control energy sources of SHEV.

A. Controller effect on fuel consumption

In Fig 20 effect of default Advisor software controller, first proposed FLC and proposed Neural-Fuzzy controller on fuel consumption are shown. It is clear that total fuel consumption curve of Neural-Fuzzy controller is below of other curves. This fact shows better performance of neural-fuzzy controller on reducing fuel consumption.

B. Controller effect on pollutants emission

HC pollutant: In Fig 21 effect of default Advisor software controller, first proposed FLC and proposed Neural-Fuzzy controller on HC pollutant emission is shown. The results of simulation are listed in table 4 and show that neural-fuzzy controller has better effect on HC pollutant emission. Second control strategy decreases HC pollutant emission 85% and 75% better than advisor software default controller and first FLC respectively.
**CO pollutant:** Fig 22 shows the effect of default Advisor software controller, first proposed FLC and proposed Neural-Fuzzy controller on emission of CO pollutant. The results of simulation are listed in table 5. The results show that neural-fuzzy controller has better effect on CO pollutant emission. Second proposed control strategy decreases CO pollutant emission 94% and 82% better than advisor software default controller and first FLC, respectively.

**TABLE 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller type</th>
<th>Area under the curve [H_c]</th>
<th>(H_c) (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisor default controller</td>
<td>1.0216</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLC of first strategy</td>
<td>0.6132</td>
<td>1.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neural-fuzzy controller</td>
<td>0.1387</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 5:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller type</th>
<th>Area under the curve [C_O]</th>
<th>(C_O) (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisor default controller</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>15.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLC of first strategy</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neural-fuzzy controller</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nox pollutant:** In Fig 23 effect of default Advisor software controller, first proposed FLC and proposed Neural-Fuzzy controller on emission of Nox pollutant is shown. The results of simulation are listed in table 6, and it is clear that neural-fuzzy controller has better effect on Nox pollutant emission. Hence second proposed control strategy decreases Nox pollutant emission 97% and 95% better than advisor software default controller and first FLC, respectively.

**Controller effect on SOC:** Fig 24 shows the effect of default Advisor software controller, first proposed FLC and proposed Neural-Fuzzy controller on SOC. According to simulation results, SOC at the end of driving cycle is kept within acceptable range by all three controllers. Since structure of Neural fuzzy controller is based on pattern recognition of unknown driving cycle, classification and switching of optimal designed FLCs. Therefore neural fuzzy controller kept SOC within the best range.

**Fig.20. Controller effect on fuel consumption**

**Fig.21. Controller effect on HC pollutant emission**

**Fig.22. Controller effect on CO pollutant emission**

**Fig.23. Controller effect on NOx pollutant emission**

**Fig.24. Controller effect on SOC**
TABLE 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller type</th>
<th>Area under the curve [NOx]</th>
<th>NOx (grams/mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advisor default controller</td>
<td>0.5904</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLC of first strategy</td>
<td>0.3022</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neural-fuzzy controller</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. CONCLUSION

In this study two control strategy for energy management of SHEV are studied. In first control strategy a fuzzy logic controller, as an offline controller is designed and replaced with default Advisor software controller. Simulation results show that proposed controller has better performance on reducing fuel consumption, air pollution and keeping battery charge level within acceptable range. The second proposed strategy is focused on unknown driving cycle where in the first strategy it is assumed that driving cycle is known. Therefore in second control strategy a designed LVQ neural network is used for pattern recognition of driving cycle, and DCR algorithm classifies unknown driving cycle to four representative cycles. For each representative cycles an optimal FLC is designed which optimization of FLCs is done by continuous ACO algorithm. Finally after identification of driving cycle class, the FLC of identified class is switch on to control energy management of SHEV. In comparison with first strategy second proposed controller is more complex and 0.358 sec slower than first one. But simulation results show better performance of proposed controller than other controllers of SHEVs. The last proposed control strategy is simulated for SEKELTON driving cycle. The results show that neural fuzzy controller has the best performance on reducing fuel consumption, air pollution and keeping battery charge level within acceptable range than other controllers.

REFERENCES